

The 19th February, 1979

No. 150/Z-42M/LAVI/150B.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by the Government at public expenses, for a public purpose, namely for the constg. Narnaul Rewari Road to Village Dhamla Was Road in Mohindergarh District.

It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1899 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of Section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may inspected in the offices of the land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Ambala Cantt, and the Executive Engineer. Constn. Division Narnaul

#### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in Acres	Remarks
Mohinder Garh	Rewari	Dhamlawas	4.80	9 1, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21 10 12 6, 15, 16, 25, 5, 6, 15, 16 12 13 25, 25, 1, 10, 11, 20, 21, 21 1 2 1 11 49, 169, 170, 172

No. Z-42M/205 B.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Road from Mile 4 of D. J. Road to Mile 44 of P. S. R. Road in Mohindergarh District, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking, with their servants workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Rewari	1—73	116 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 29

District	Thesil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Rewari —concl'd	1—73 —concl'd	$\frac{151}{\frac{7}{1} \frac{7}{2} \frac{14}{1} \frac{14}{2}},$ 3, 4, 5, 6, —, —, 8, 13, —, —, 15, 16, 17, $\frac{151}{24},$ 423
Do	Do	Khalilpuri	4—00	$\frac{7}{25} \frac{16}{5} \frac{17}{5},$ 16, —, —, $\frac{18}{1 \frac{1}{2}},$ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, $\frac{19}{10} \frac{10}{2},$ 1, 2, 9, —, —,           46, 50, 51, 54, 66
Do	Do	Mandia Kalan	6—00	$\frac{1}{24, 25} \frac{3}{1, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20},$ $\frac{3}{21} \frac{4}{22} \frac{5}{4} \frac{5}{6}, 7, 15, 16, 25,$ $\frac{6}{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10},$ $\frac{7}{1, 2, 3, 4, \frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{5}, 6, 7, \frac{8}{1}},$ $\frac{7}{8} \frac{8}{10} \frac{10}{1} \frac{10}{2},$ 39, 40, 41
Do	Do	Majra Sheoraj	7—00	$\frac{21}{9} \frac{9}{10}, 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 22,$ $\frac{21}{23, 24} \frac{22}{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 15},$

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Majra Sheoraj —concl'd	7—00 concl'd	<div>24</div> <div> <math>\frac{4}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{8}{1}, \frac{8}{2}, 9, \frac{12}{1}, \frac{12}{2}, \frac{13}{1}</math> </div> <div>24</div> <div> <math>\frac{13}{2}, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23</math> </div> <div>32</div> <div> <math>\frac{10}{1}, 1, 2, 9, \frac{10}{1}, 11, 20, 21</math> </div> <div>35</div> <div> <math>\frac{5}{1}, \frac{5}{2}, 6, 7, 14, 15</math> </div> <div>36</div> <div> <math>\frac{36}{1}, 38, 39, 40, 44, 45, 46, 47, 103, 189, 190, 193.</math> </div>
Do	Do	Fatehpuri	3—00	<div>1</div> <div>8</div> <div> <math>\frac{23}{1}, \frac{24}{2}, \frac{12}{1}, \frac{12}{2}, 3, 8, 9, \frac{13}{1}, \frac{18}{2}</math> </div> <div>8</div> <div>9</div> <div> <math>\frac{21}{1}, \frac{21}{2}, \frac{22}{1}, \frac{22}{2}, 15, 16</math> </div> <div>10</div> <div>16</div> <div> <math>\frac{1}{2}, 1, 10, 11, 12, \frac{16}{2}, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24,</math> </div> <div>10</div> <div>11</div> <div>23</div> <div> <math>\frac{25}{2}, \frac{21}{2}, 22, 1, 2, 26, 30</math> </div> <div>24</div> <div>36</div> <div> <math>\frac{24}{5}, \frac{36}{1}</math> </div> <div>100</div> <div>4</div> <div>5</div> <div> <math>\frac{23}{6}, \frac{21}{8}, \frac{22}{8}, \frac{23}{8}, \frac{24}{8}</math> </div> <div>16, 24, 25</div> <div>3, 4, 5, 6</div> <div>9</div> <div> <math>\frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{7}{2}, 1, 2, 3, 4, \frac{5}{4}, 6, \frac{7}{1}, \frac{7}{2}, 8, 9, 10, 13,</math> </div>

District	Tehsil	Locality/ Village	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Bamber —concl'd		$\begin{array}{c} \frac{9}{14, 26}, \frac{10}{19, 19}, \\ 10, 11, 12, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{19}{2}, 20, 21; 22 \\ 13 \\ 2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, \\ 13 \quad 22 \\ 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 4, 5, 6, 7 \\ 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 39, 41, 49, \\ 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83. \end{array}$
Do	Do	Muradpuri	5—00	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{4}{20, 12, 22, 23, 24}, \frac{5}{1, 7, 8, 9, \frac{10}{1}, \frac{10}{2}}, \\ 5 \\ 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 25, \\ 6 \quad 9 \\ 5, 6, 15, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \\ 10 \\ 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, \frac{17}{1}, \frac{17}{2} \\ 11 \\ \frac{11}{21}, \\ 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, \frac{25}{1}, 72. \end{array}$
Do	Do	Mohlawas	3—00	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{10}{15}, \frac{11}{11, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25}, \\ 14 \quad 15 \\ 11, 20, 1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15 \\ 16 \\ \frac{16}{5}, 38. \end{array}$
Do	Do	Kahnawas	1—00	$\begin{array}{c} \frac{12}{19, 21, \frac{22}{1}, \frac{22}{2}, 23}, \frac{14}{2, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{3}{2}, 8}, \\ 22, 23, 52 \end{array}$

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra No.
Mohindergarh	Rewari	Khijuri	4-50	54
				11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22
				54 55
				23 23 7 7
				1 2 4, 5, 6, 1, 2, 8, 13,
				55 57
				14, 15, 16 4 4 6 6
				3, 1, 2, 5, 1, 7, 8,
				57 58
				15 15 9, 10, 11, 12, 20
				1 2
				81, 82, 83.
		Total	—	

(Sd.) . . . .

Superintending Engineer,  
P.W.D., B.&R., Bhiwani,  
Branch.

## JIND CIRCLE

The 15th February, 1979

No. 162. Where as the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that land specified below is needed by Government at public expense, for public purpose namely Const., a road from Jind Assand Road to village Bahari Tehsil and District Jind. It is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the land acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said act the Land Acquisition Collector, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Haryana Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the office of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt and the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division P. W. D. B. & R. Branch, Jind.

## SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Jind	Jind	Bahari	8.11 acres	163

The 20th February, 1979

No. 163.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that the land likely to be required to be taken by the Government, at the public expense, for a public purpose, namely, "constructing approach road to village Bighana, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section IV of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within thirty days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Buildings and Road's Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATION

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in Acres	Remarks
Jind	Jind	Bighana	6.11	191

(Sd.)  
Superintending Engineer,  
Jind Circle, P. W. D., B. & R. Br.  
Jind.

#### CORRIGENDUM

In the *Haryana Government Gazette*, Part I, dated the 30th January, 1979, in the Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, Jind Circle, notifications Nos. 157 and 158, dated the 10th January, 1979, printed at pages 206 to 214, at page 214 at the end of notification No. 158, read "Superintending Engineer, Jind Circle, P. W. D., B. and R. Branch, Jind" for "sub-Divisional Engineer, Provincial Sub-Division. Jind".

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

#### CORRIGENDUM

The 19th February, 1979

No. 12(272)-78-3Lab.—In Haryana Government, Labour Department notification No. 12(272)78-3Lab, dated 20th November, 1978, published on 30th November, 1978, the address of Shri Partap Singh Malik mentioned under the heading EMPLOYERS REPRESENTATIVE at serial No. 1 be read as under :—

"Shri Partap Singh Malik,  
Sector 7-A,  
House No. 210,  
Faridabad."

The 17th February, 1979

No. 11(112)3Lab-79/1831.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Faridabad Powerloom Owners Associations NIT, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRINATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

References No. 339 to 348 of 1978

between

S/SHRI BIRBAL, SHOB NATH, PURAN BASI, MUSAFIR, RAM SAMUZ, LALJI, KAILASH  
PARSHAD, MATHU LAL, HARISH CHANDER, SURESH CHAND AND THE MANAGEMENT  
OF M/S FARIDABAD POWERLOOM OWNERS ASSOCIATIONS NIT FARIDABAD

Present: —

Shri P. K. De, for the workmen.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/11/123/78/38037, dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/122/78/38043, dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/38049, dated 17th August, 1978. ID/FD/11/120-78/38056 dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/119-78/38062, dated 17th August, 1978. ID/FD/11/117-78/38068, dated 17th August, ID/FD/11/118-78/38076 dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/124-78/38082, dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/116-78/38088, dated 17th August, 1978, ID/FD/11/114-78/38094, dated 17th August, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Faridabad Powerloom Owners Associations, NIT Faridabad and its workmen S/Shri Birbal, Shob Nath, Pura Basi, Musafir, Ram Samuz, Lalji Kailash Parshad, Mithu Lal, Harish Chander, Suresh Chand, to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Birbal, Shob Nath, Pura Basi, Musafir, Ram Samuz, Lalji, Kailash Parshad, Mithu Lal, Harish Chander, Suresh Chand were justified and in order? If not, to what relief are they entitled?

On receipt of the order of above said references, notices were issued to the parties. The representative for the workmen appeared, but the representative for the management did not appear despite service. The management was then proceeded against ex parte and the case was fixed for the ex parte evidence of the workmen.

On the date fixed for the ex parte evidence of the workmen, the representative for the workmen stated that he had no instructions from the workmen. By the above said act and conduct and statement of the representative of the above said workmen, their case were liable to be dismissed in default. I think the workmen are not taking interest in pursuing their disputes or they have left for good. I, therefore, give my award that at present no dispute exists between the parties.

Dated 5th February, 1979

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 136, dated 6th February, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment departments Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 21st February, 1979

No. 11(112)3Lab-79/1815.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bagga Machine Tools NIT Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 352 of 1978

*between*

SHRI JOG DHIYAN WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. BAGGA MACHINE TOOLS NIT, FARIDABAD.

*Present :—*

Nemo for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

## AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/125-78/38285 dated 18th August, 1978 the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Bagga Machine Tools NIT, Faridabad

and its workman Shri Jog Dhiyan, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jog Dhiyan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. Notice on the workman was served but he did not appear. The case, therefore, is liable to dismissal in default. I, therefore, give my award that at present no dispute exists between the parties, as the workman does not seem to be interested in his dispute.

Dated 5th February, 1979.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 120 dated the 6th February, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/1818.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Bhartia Electric Steel Company Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 165 of 1978

*between*

SHRI RAM KUMAR YADAV, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. BHARTIA  
ELECTRIC STEEL COMPANY LTD., MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri P. K. De, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. 1D/FD/1/4-78/29503, dated 26th June, 1978, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s. Bhartia Electric Steel Company Ltd, Mathura Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Ram Kumar Yadav to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Kumar Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. The workman settled his dispute. The workman received a sum of Rs 213.50 from the management and gave up his dispute.

In view of the settlement I give my award that the termination of services of Shri Ram Kumar Yadav was justified and in order. He is not entitled to any relief.

Dated the 5th February, 1979.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.



No. 123, dated the 6th February, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 11(112)3Lab-79/1820.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Basai Pottery, Basai Road, Gurgaon.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 137 of 1978

*between*

THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BASAI POTTERY,  
BASAI ROAD GURGAON

*Present:—*

Shri Shardha Nand, for the workman.

Shri S. K. Yadav, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/GG/30-78/16916, dated 3rd May, 1978, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Basai Pottery, Basai Road, Gurgaon and its workman, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the workmen are entitled to bonus for the year 1976-77 ? If so, with what details ?

2. Whether any increase in the wages of the workmen should be made ? If so, with what details ?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared. The representative for the workman prayed for adjournment for filing claim statement. But on the next date of hearing neither the workman appeared nor his representative. The case was adjourned. The representative for the workman again prayed for an adjournment for filing claim statement which was granted. Thereafter neither the workman appeared nor his representative. But on the next date of hearing the representative for the workman appeared but stated that he does not want to pursue his case, as the workman of the management had gone out and left for good. But the evidence of the management was present.

In view of the statement of the representative for the workmen, I answer the reference and give my award that the workmen are not entitled to any of the disputes referred to.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 125, dated the 6th February, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.